## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

WILLIAM CONRAD YEAGER, II,	
Plaintiff,	
v. )	Case No. 18-4019-SAC-GEB
NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO, et al.,	
Defendants.	
<b>,</b>	

## **ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees (ECF No. 3, *sealed*); his Amended Motion to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees (ECF No. 4, *sealed*) and the financial affidavit attached to his amended motion (ECF No. 4-1, *sealed*). For the reasons outlined below, Plaintiff's Motions to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees (ECF Nos. 3, 4) are GRANTED.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), the Court has the discretion<sup>1</sup> to authorize the filing of a civil case "without prepayment of fees or security thereof, by a person who submits an affidavit that . . . the person is unable to pay such fees or give security thereof." "Proceeding *in forma pauperis* in a civil case 'is a privilege, not a right—fundamental or otherwise.'" To determine whether a party is eligible to file without prepayment of the fee, the Court commonly reviews that party's financial affidavit and compares his or her monthly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Barnett ex rel. Barnett v. Nw. Sch., No. 00-2499, 2000 WL 1909625, at \*1 (D. Kan. Dec. 26, 2000) (citing Cabrera v. Horgas, 173 F.3d 863, at \*1 (10th Cir. April 23, 1999)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id.* (quoting *White v. Colorado*, 157 F.3d 1226, 1233 (10th Cir. 1998)).

expenses with the monthly income disclosed therein.<sup>3</sup> In his applications and financial

affidavit, Plaintiff indicates he is not currently employed, possesses minimal assets, and

his monthly expenses exceed his monthly income. In keeping with the Court's liberal

policy toward permitting proceedings in forma pauperis,<sup>4</sup> and after careful review of

Plaintiff's Motions and Affidavit of Financial Status (ECF Nos. 3 and 4, sealed), the Court

finds he is financially unable to pay the filing fee.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions to Proceed without

Prepayment of Fees (ECF Nos. 3, 4) are GRANTED. Because Plaintiff proceeds in forma

pauperis, the clerk of the court shall take the appropriate steps to serve Defendants with

the summons and Complaint as provided under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) and Fed. R. Civ. P.

4(c)(3).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated at Wichita, Kansas this 7th day of May 2018.

s/ Gwynne E. Birzer

GWYNNE E. BIRZER

United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>3</sup> Alexander v. Wichita Hous. Auth., No. 07-1149-JTM, 2007 WL 2316902, at \*1 (D. Kan. Aug. 9, 2007) (citing *Patillo v. N. Am. Van Lines, Inc.*, No. 02-2162-JWL-DJW, 2000 WL 1162684, at \*1)

(D. Kan. Apr. 15, 2002) and Webb v. Cessna Aircraft, No. 00-2229-JWL-DJW, 2000 WL 1025575, at \*1 (D. Kan. July 17, 2000)).

<sup>4</sup> See generally, Yellen v. Cooper, 828 F.2d 1471 (10th Cir. 1987).

2